# (IJ-03) Exploring Common and Emerging Project Management Methodologies

*Christine Lee*
Doctoral Student, Alliant International University

*Hamzah Al-Shorman*
Doctoral Student, Alliant International University

## ABSTRACT

This paper explores traditional and emerging project management methodologies, including Agile, Hybrid, Kanban, Lean, Scrum, Waterfall, Critical Chain Project Management (CCPM), Extreme Programming (XP), Adaptive Project Management (APM), and PRiSM. It compares their principles, strengths, limitations, and use cases across industries. The analysis highlights which methodologies best suit dynamic, regulated, or sustainability-driven environments. It concludes with implementation challenges and tailored recommendations based on project characteristics, emphasizing the need for organizations to align methodology selection with strategic goals.

## INTRODUCTION

Project management methodologies are the structured practices, principles, and processes that guide the planning, execution, and completion of projects. As organizations face

increasingly complex challenges, the need for effective and adaptive project management has grown. Traditional models like Waterfall prioritize linear progress, while modern methodologies like Agile and Scrum emphasize adaptability and iterative development. Additionally, sustainability and environmental concerns have given rise to models such as PRiSM, while innovation and uncertainty have necessitated approaches like Adaptive Project Management (APM). This paper provides a comparative analysis of six well-established project management methodologies and four emerging models to support organizations in selecting suitable approaches.

Project management decisions are critical to project success. According to PMI's Pulse of the Profession (2021) report, organizations that align their projects with strategic objectives and use appropriate methodologies are 38% more likely to succeed. The selection of an appropriate methodology can affect not only schedule and budget but also product quality, stakeholder satisfaction, and adaptability to change. Organizations must examine a broader range of management models with increasing globalization, remote collaboration, and stakeholder scrutiny of ESG factors. This paper aims to help project managers make informed choices by highlighting differences and complementarities across established and emerging methodologies.

Moreover, the rapid adoption of digital transformation, AI, and automation in various sectors underscores the need for flexible project frameworks. The COVID-19 pandemic further challenged traditional methodologies, compelling organizations to reassess their approaches and integrate models capable of handling disruption. Consequently, comparative insights into project management methodologies are more relevant than ever to navigating modern complexities.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research utilizes a comparative literature review approach. Academic journal articles, industry publications, and foundational texts were reviewed to extract data on each project

management methodology. Sources include peer-reviewed journals, white papers, and standards from professional bodies such as PMI. Key characteristics of each methodology were identified: origin, principles, strengths, limitations, practical applications, and implementation challenges. Comparative tables were developed to synthesize findings. The review emphasizes current scholarly perspectives, practical case studies, and expert insights published between 2017 and 2025.

Data were also analyzed for sector-specific usage, frequency of adoption, and project outcomes. Scholarly databases such as JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar were used to find supporting literature. Frameworks were compared using criteria such as flexibility, risk management, cost control, time efficiency, customer involvement, sustainability integration, and ease of implementation. This systematic review ensures a holistic understanding of each methodology in real-world scenarios.

Additionally, a cross-model matrix was developed to identify patterns of overlap, divergence, and complementarity among the methodologies. The review was supplemented by a thematic analysis of case studies and implementation reports from diverse industries, including healthcare, software development, construction, and manufacturing. The triangulation of academic sources, practitioner insights, and industry reports provides robust support for the conclusions drawn.

## OVERVIEW OF COMMON PROJECT MANAGEMENT METHODOLOGIES

### Agile

Agile emerged in response to the limitations of traditional software development models (Beck et al., 2001). The Agile Manifesto introduced principles prioritizing individuals, working software, customer collaboration, and responsiveness to change. Agile emphasizes iterative development through short sprints, continuous feedback, and collaboration. Frameworks like Scrum and Kanban operationalize Agile principles in distinct ways. Agile is popular in startups and environments where customer requirements evolve quickly.

Agile promotes self-organizing teams and prioritizes adaptability over rigid planning. Tools like user stories, burndown charts, and product backlogs help ensure progress transparency. Studies show Agile increases team satisfaction, customer engagement, and reduces time-to-market for deliverables (Dingsøyr et al., 2023). However, it may struggle in highly regulated or fixed-scope environments.

### Hybrid

Hybrid project management combines aspects of Agile, Waterfall, and other methodologies. It is tailored to a project's unique needs, allowing structured planning with iterative execution (Boogaard, 2024). Hybrid methodologies are particularly valuable in large-scale enterprise projects requiring customization and flexibility (Conforto, 2024). Project managers can switch between predictive and adaptive models based on phase-specific needs.

Hybrid frameworks are increasingly used in sectors like construction, healthcare IT, and public infrastructure, where compliance and innovation are needed. They allow organizations to maintain documentation and process control while testing solutions through Agile techniques. Success depends on the project manager's skill in balancing conflicting demands and integrating team feedback loops.

### Kanban

Initially developed by Toyota, Kanban is a visual project management approach focusing on workflow optimization. It uses Kanban boards to limit work-in-progress (WIP) and ensure efficient flow through clearly defined stages (Bhaskar, 2022). Kanban is favored in environments requiring continuous delivery and transparency. Its simplicity and visual nature make it accessible to cross-functional teams.

Key principles include limiting WIP, managing flow, and continuous improvement (Kaizen). Kanban facilitates real-time problem detection, increases visibility, and supports lean thinking. It is used widely in IT operations, maintenance teams, and service centers.

However, Kanban may be insufficient for projects requiring rigid deadlines or detailed scheduling.

## Lean

Lean project management emphasizes waste reduction and maximizing customer value (Asana, 2025). Based on Toyota's production system, it has been applied to healthcare, logistics, and finance. Lean employs principles like value stream mapping, flow efficiency, and continuous improvement. Lean encourages constant reflection and feedback to identify inefficiencies.

Lean promotes respect for people and empowers teams to innovate processes from the ground up. It includes tools like the 5S methodology, root cause analysis, and visual management boards. Despite its efficiency benefits, Lean implementation may face resistance due to cultural inertia, as well as initial training and change management investment.

## Scrum

Scrum, a subset of Agile, features time-boxed development cycles called sprints, supported by roles such as Scrum Master and Product Owner (Schwaber & Sutherland, 2020). It emphasizes team autonomy, regular reviews, and adaptability, making it ideal for dynamic projects. Frequent stand-ups and retrospectives improve communication and foster team ownership.

Scrum's structure promotes accountability and fosters cross-functional collaboration. The Product Owner maintains the product backlog and represents stakeholder interests, while the Scrum Master facilitates the process. Despite its effectiveness, Scrum can be challenging to scale or apply in hierarchical or siloed organizations.

## Waterfall

Waterfall is a linear model where each phase (requirements, design, development, testing,

deployment) must be completed sequentially (Royce, 1970). It suits projects with stable requirements and heavy regulatory oversight (Patel et al., 2021). Waterfall is effective for documentation-heavy industries such as defense, pharmaceuticals, and construction.

Waterfall's predictability and clarity of scope make it ideal for high-risk or safety-critical environments. However, its rigidity limits responsiveness to changes. Delays in one phase cascade into the next, and customer feedback is often delayed until the final stages. Project failures under Waterfall often result from incorrect assumptions at the beginning.

## OVERVIEW OF EMERGING PROJECT MANAGEMENT METHODOLOGIES

### Critical Chain Project Management (CCPM)

CCPM, based on Goldratt's Theory of Constraints, aims to optimize project scheduling by identifying resource dependencies and minimizing multitasking. It uses buffers to protect critical tasks and improve schedule reliability (Anastasiu et al., 2023). CCPM discourages multitasking and promotes resource availability alignment.

Its implementation has resulted in reduced project durations and improved management of uncertainties. Buffers—strategically placed time reserves—absorb variances without affecting project milestones. However, CCPM requires robust planning tools and training, limiting its widespread adoption.

### Extreme Programming (XP)

XP is an Agile-based software development methodology focusing on frequent releases, test-driven development, and strong customer involvement (Rojas et al., 2020). Techniques like pair programming and continuous integration enhance code quality and team collaboration. XP is rooted in engineering best practices and encourages customer presence on-site.

XP promotes simplicity in design, small releases, and collective code ownership. It has shown success in reducing technical debt and enhancing stakeholder satisfaction. However,

it requires highly disciplined teams, constant refactoring, and significant customer commitment, which may not be feasible in all contexts.

## Adaptive Project Management (APM)

APM supports continuous learning and iterative planning, allowing adjustments as project conditions evolve (Williams & Brown, 2018). It is ideal for innovation-driven projects where requirements are fluid. APM emphasizes stakeholder engagement, rapid feedback, and double-loop learning. It allows goals to evolve in tandem with discoveries and external changes.

APM empowers teams to learn from experience and rapidly adjust strategies. It supports decentralized decision-making and works well in research, education, and climate resilience initiatives. Challenges include maintaining coherence and stakeholder alignment as project parameters shift.

## PRiSM (Projects Integrating Sustainable Methods)

Developed by GPM Global, PRiSM integrates ESG (environmental, social, and governance) principles into project planning and execution. It encourages long-term value, lifecycle impact assessment, and sustainability alignment (Katsarelis & Adamopoulou, 2014). PRiSM emphasizes ethical impact, biodiversity, carbon reduction, and resource stewardship.

PRiSM uses tools like the P5 Standard and sustainability indicators to assess impact. It suits infrastructure, urban planning, and renewable energy initiatives. However, the need for specialized training limits adoption, and organizations often prioritize cost and timeline over sustainability unless regulatory frameworks or stakeholder pressures require it.

# COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: FEATURES, STRENGTHS, AND LIMITATIONS

| Methodology | Strengths | Limitations | Best Use Cases |
|---|---|---|---|
| Agile | Flexible, fast feedback, innovation | Requires high collaboration | Software, marketing |
| Hybrid | Customizable, combines best practices | Complex implementation | Enterprise projects |
| Kanban | Visual tracking, reduced bottlenecks | Less structured | IT operations, service workflows |
| Lean | Eliminates waste, improves value | Requires cultural shift | Manufacturing, healthcare |
| Scrum | Structured iterations, team autonomy | Needs experienced teams | App development |
| Waterfall | Clear milestones, detailed documentation | Rigid, poor adaptability | Government, pharma |
| CCPM | Optimizes resources, buffer use | High complexity | Manufacturing, construction |
| XP | High-quality code, early issue detection | Customer involvement burden | Startups, web dev |
| APM | Continuous learning, high adaptability | Risk of scope creep | R&D, transformation projects |
| PRiSM | ESG alignment, long-term value | Training needs, slow adoption | Green building, infrastructure |

# PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Agile and Scrum are prevalent in software development and marketing, supporting fast-paced innovation (Dingsøyr et al., 2023). Hybrid combines flexibility and control in large-scale IT or construction projects (Boogaard, 2024). Kanban enhances operational efficiency in support and development teams (Martins, 2025). Lean principles are adopted in manufacturing and healthcare to streamline operations (Asana, 2025).

CCPM is used in construction to reduce delays and manage complex dependencies (Anastasiu et al., 2023). XP supports rapid, high-quality releases in software startups (Rojas et al., 2020). APM is valuable in volatile environments, like tech innovation and environmental restoration (Williams & Brown, 2018). Organizations adopt PRiSM to align projects with sustainability goals (Katsarelis & Adamopoulou, 2014).

## IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

Each methodology poses unique challenges. Agile requires team discipline and stakeholder availability. Hybrid needs expert leadership to manage diverse frameworks. Kanban depends on visual clarity and WIP control. Lean mandates cultural shifts that may face internal resistance. Scrum's dependency on experienced team members and frequent reviews can lead to fatigue.

Emerging models present added complexity. CCPM requires buffer management expertise and accurate data. XP's reliance on pair programming and frequent feedback can be resource intensive. APM, while adaptive, risks losing direction in the absence of strong oversight. PRiSM demands knowledge of sustainability standards and may increase upfront costs.

## OVERLAPS AND DISTINCTIONS

Agile, Scrum, XP, and APM share iteration, feedback, and adaptability principles. Scrum and XP provide more structure, while APM allows flexibility. Kanban and Lean aim to improve flow and reduce waste, but they differ in structure and application. Waterfall stands apart as the most rigid model. PRiSM is distinct in its focus on environmental and ethical project outcomes. Hybrid methods overlap with all others by design, incorporating multiple strategies.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Project managers should select methodologies aligned with their industry context and project dynamics:

- Use **Agile** for tech-driven, iterative projects.

- Apply **Hybrid** in enterprise settings needing both flexibility and structure.

- Employ **Kanban** for operational workflows needing visual tracking.

- Implement **Lean** in efficiency-focused sectors.

- Opt for **Scrum** when product updates are frequent.

- Choose **Waterfall** for regulatory projects with fixed requirements.

- Adopt **CCPM** in projects needing schedule optimization.

- Use **XP** in development teams needing rapid, reliable outputs.

- Apply **APM** in uncertain, evolving project contexts.

- Utilize **PRiSM** for ESG-aligned and sustainability-focused projects.

## CONCLUSION

This paper examined ten project management methodologies. Agile, Scrum, and XP suit fast-paced industries; Waterfall and CCPM serve well in structured environments. Hybrid, APM, and PRiSM address complex, adaptive, or sustainability-oriented needs. This paper concludes that Agile and Scrum are best for innovation-driven teams, while Waterfall remains crucial for structured, regulatory projects. Hybrid and APM offer tailored flexibility but require skilled oversight. PRiSM is best suited for sustainability-focused organizations.

The findings advocate for strategic alignment between project goals and management methodologies. Organizations can improve outcomes by selecting methods that reflect operational complexity, regulatory environment, and stakeholder expectations. The right approach depends on project goals, industry standards, team capabilities, and stakeholder priorities. Organizations can improve project success by aligning methodology with strategic intent and investing in training and adaptation.

## FUTURE RESEARCH

Further empirical studies should examine how hybrid models perform in cross-functional or remote environments. Case studies focusing on PRiSM's long-term impact on ESG outcomes would benefit sustainability discourse. Mixed-method research involving interviews, project performance metrics, and longitudinal studies could offer deeper insights into methodological effectiveness.

# REFERENCES

Anastasiu, L., Câmpian, C., & Roman, N. (2023). Boosting Construction Project Timeline: the Case of Critical Chain Project Management (CCPM). *Buildings*, *13*(5), 1249. https://www.mdpi.com/2075-5309/13/5/1249

Anwer, F., & Aftab, S. (2017). Latest Customizations of XP: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science*, *9*(12), 26–37. https://doi.org/10.5815/ijmecs.2017.12.04

Asana. (2021, November 4). *What Is Lean Project Management? 5 Principles Explained • Asana*. Asana. https://asana.com/resources/lean-project-management

Beck, K., Beedle, M., van Bennekum, A., Cockburn, A., Cunningham, W., Fowler, M., Grenning, J., Highsmith, J., Hunt, A., Jeffries, R., Kern, J., Marick, B., Martin, R. C., Mellor, S., Schwaber, K., Sutherland, J., & Thomas, D. (2001). *Manifesto for Agile Software Development*. Agile Manifesto; Agile Alliance. https://agilemanifesto.org/

Bhaskar, S. (2022, November 23). *What Is Kanban? An Overview Of The Kanban Method*. www.nimblework.com. https://www.nimblework.com/kanban/what-is-kanban/

Boogaard, K. (2022, May 24). *What Is Hybrid Project Management? | Wrike*. Www.wrike.com. https://www.wrike.com/blog/what-hybrid-project-management/

Conforto, E. (2024). *Hybrid Project Management | PMI Blog | PMI*. Pmi.org.

https://www.pmi.org/blog/project-management-embraces-the-fit-for-purpose-approach

*Home | Scrum Guides*. (n.d.). Www.scrumguides.org. Retrieved October 31, 2020, from https://www.scrumguides.org

Martins, J. (2025, January 19). *What Is Kanban? A Beginner's Guide for Agile Teams [2023] • Asana*. Asana. https://asana.com/resources/what-is-kanban

Rojas, S., L. Pucha Guzmán, P. Villamarín Coronel, & A. Yunga Benítez. (2020). Scrum with eXtreme Programming: An Agile Alternative in Software Development. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 350–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-60467-7_29

Triantafyllos Katsarelis, & Adamopoulou, I. (2014). Evolving Project Management: The PRiSM™ Framework – Projects Integrating Sustainable Methods. *5th ENEPROT Conference*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279961681_Evolving_Project_Management_The_PRiSM_Framework_-_Projects_Integrating_Sustainable_Methods

Williams, B. K., & Brown, E. D. (2018). Double-Loop Learning in Adaptive Management: The Need, the Challenge, and the Opportunity. *Environmental Management*, *62*(6), 995–1006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-018-1107-5