

(IJ-06) Achieving Sustainable Global Industrialization Through Advanced Manufacturing Technologies: A Post-COVID-19 Case Study of Tesla

Yiran Li

The Webb Schools

Pengda Sun

DBA candidate, Alliant International University

ABSTRACT

The global pandemic in the past three years highlighted the significance of establishing resilient and sustainable supply chains in the automotive industry and accelerated the adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies. This study utilizes Tesla as a case study to examine the role of advanced manufacturing technologies in achieving sustainable global industrialization in the post-COVID-19 era. The research explores the challenges and opportunities Tesla faces in pursuing sustainable global industrialization and how the company uses advanced manufacturing technologies to strengthen competitiveness, efficiency, and sustainability. The study uses a qualitative case analysis method to examine Tesla's advanced characteristics, including manufacturing technologies, supply chain strategy, and the impact of policy and regulation on technology adoption. The research also assesses the benefits and potential drawbacks of these technologies on Tesla's competitiveness, sustainability, and resilience and analyzes the company's supply chain management to evaluate its contribution to post-COVID-19 sustainability and competitiveness. The study also discusses the influence of government policies and regulations on Tesla's global expansion.

Keywords: global industrialization, global supply chain, advanced manufacturing technologies, post-covid-19

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly impacted global supply chains and manufacturing industries worldwide and highlighting the need for more resilient and flexible supply chains and advanced manufacturing technologies that can adapt to sudden changes and disruptions (Arntz et al., 2016; Allwood et al., 2011). The automotive industry has been one of the sectors most affected by the pandemic, with production disruptions and supply chain challenges affecting companies worldwide (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). However, Kumar et al. (2020) also indicated that Tesla, a leader in the global new energy vehicle industry, has shown remarkable resilience and innovation in responding to the challenges post-COVID-19. Tesla's strict corporate management, supply chain, advanced technologies, and market leadership have enabled it to respond effectively to the pandemic's impact and continuously optimize its manufacturing processes and innovations (Tukker, 2015; Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020).

This study aims to explore the role of advanced manufacturing technologies in achieving sustainable global industrialization in the post-COVID-19 era, specifically focusing on Tesla's experience. By analyzing Tesla's case, this study is to identify the company's strengths and opportunities and inspire other advanced manufacturing industries to innovate and adapt to the changing global landscape (Wu et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2023).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Global industrialization, the worldwide expansion of industrial production and manufacturing, has been a critical driver of economic growth and development over the past century (Manyika et al., 2017; Gambhir et al., 2019). The growth of industrialization has been closely linked to the development of global supply chains and trade and the adoption and development of advanced manufacturing technologies (Jovane et al., 2008; Liao et al., 2017). The connection between global industrialization, sustainability, and advanced manufacturing technologies has garnered significant attention in recent years, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic (Manyika et al., 2017). As global industries desire to pursue economic growth with environmental and social considerations,

advanced manufacturing technologies have arisen as a critical driver of sustainable global industrialization (Gambhir et al., 2019; Jovane et al., 2008).

Advanced manufacturing technologies, encompassing automation robotics, additive manufacturing, digital operation, data analysis, and artificial intelligence, are at the forefront of the Industry 4.0 revolution (Liao et al., 2017). These technologies enhance productivity, efficiency, and adaptability while raising situations about labor displacement and environmental impact (Frey & Osborne, 2017; Bughin et al., 2018). For instance, adopting robotics and automation can lead to job losses, and organizations and industries need to develop strategies for workforce retraining and technology development (Arntz et al., 2016).

The adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies can lead to increased resource efficiency, reduced waste, and improved environmental performance (Jovane et al., 2008; Allwood et al., 2011). Organizations that adopt sustainable manufacturing techniques can optimize their production processes and minimize their environmental impression while remaining competitive in a rapidly changing global market (Mangram, 2012; Despeisse et al., 2015).

Circular economy principles, which highlight the need to reduce resource consumption and waste generation, have become increasingly relevant in advanced manufacturing technologies (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017). By integrating circular economy concepts into their operations, companies can further enhance the sustainability of their manufacturing processes, extending product lifecycles and having better control of overall environmental impact (Lieder & Rashid, 2016; Tukker, 2015).

In order to achieve global industrialization, supply chain resilience has arisen as a critical aspect of post-COVID-19 industrialization, with advanced manufacturing technologies playing a central role in enabling organizations to adapt to disturbances and uncertainties (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). By investing in advanced manufacturing technologies and adopting innovative supply chain strategies, organizations can enhance their competitiveness and sustainability in the face of ongoing global challenges (Wu et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2023).

Digital technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and artificial intelligence, are increasingly being leveraged to improve global operations and supply chains, allowing organizations to track and manage their procedures more accurately and respond to disruptions more rapidly (Kamilaris et al., 2019; Queiroz et al., 2019). These digital technologies help to mitigate risks and enhance the resilience of global supply chains, contributing to sustainable industrialization (Ivanov et al., 2019).

Government guidance and support are instrumental in promoting adopting of advanced manufacturing technologies and transitioning to Industry 4.0 (Rüßmann et al., 2015). Governments provide various supports, such as research and development funding, infrastructure investments, and regulatory incentives, to encourage the possibility of advanced manufacturing and sustainable industrialization (Zhou et al., 2009). Policy interventions can facilitate the expansion of innovative organizations into global markets and emphasize the significance of government-industry collaboration in fostering innovation and sustainability (Teixeira et al., 2022). The role of government support extends beyond direct financial assistance and includes the creation of an enabling environment for advanced manufacturing through regulatory frameworks, standards development, and workforce training initiatives (Rüßmann et al., 2015; Baily & Bosworth, 2014). By fostering a supportive ecosystem for advanced manufacturing, governments can facilitate the growth of innovative industries and help to drive sustainable global industrialization (Tassey, 2014).

In addition to government support, collaboration between industry, academia, and research institutions is essential for advancing the development and adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies (Lee et al., 2015). Such partnerships can help bridge knowledge gaps, accelerate the transfer of technology, and promote innovation, ultimately contributing to sustainable global industrialization (Tidd & Bessant, 2018).

These key concepts provide a valuable context for understanding the role of advanced manufacturing in achieving sustainable industrialization in the post-COVID-19 era. By examining the benefits and challenges associated with these technologies, as well as the role of government

support, supply chain resilience, and industry collaboration, this research contributes to the broader discourse on the role of Industry 4.0 in achieving sustainable global industrialization.

TESLA'S ADVANCED MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES

Tesla has been implementing advanced manufacturing technologies to improve its operations and overcome challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. These technologies include robotics, automation, machine learning, the Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence (AI).

One of the critical manufacturing innovations implemented by Tesla is the Gigafactory, which is a large-scale manufacturing facility designed to produce batteries for electric vehicles. The facility incorporates advanced data analytics and machine learning algorithms to optimize production processes, enabling Tesla to scale up its production capacity while reducing costs rapidly. The Gigafactory has also enabled Tesla to reduce its carbon footprint by producing batteries using renewable energy sources such as solar and wind power (Cooke, 2020). The Gigafactory has enabled Tesla to produce electric vehicles more sustainably, utilizing renewable energy sources and minimizing material waste, thus improving its environmental sustainability (Goldhammer et al., 2021).

Another significant manufacturing technology utilized by Tesla is the Giga Press. The Giga Press is a proprietary machine manufacturing large structural components for its electric vehicles. The Giga Press enables Tesla to produce large parts, such as the Model Y's rear underbody, in a single piece, reducing manufacturing time and minimizing waste. The utilization of the Giga Press enables Tesla to simplify its manufacturing processes and reduce its overall carbon footprint by minimizing material waste and energy consumption (Shao et al., 2021).

Furthermore, Tesla is also implementing AI and IoT technologies to enhance its manufacturing processes. For instance, Tesla has deployed factory robots to perform repetitive and complex tasks. This approach has enabled Tesla to improve the safety of its workers while increasing production efficiency (Ajitha & Nagra, 2021). In addition, Tesla is also utilizing IoT technologies to collect data from its production processes and analyze them to identify areas for optimization. Through

this approach, Tesla has identified areas of waste, reduced downtime, and improved production efficiency (Liu et al., 2022).

Implementing advanced manufacturing technologies has enabled Tesla to overcome challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. For instance, automation and robotics have enabled Tesla to reduce the need for human labor and adhere to social distancing guidelines, thereby reducing the risk of virus transmission (Shiddiq, 2020). Additionally, deploying IoT technologies and machine learning algorithms has enabled Tesla to optimize its production processes remotely, reducing the need for in-person inspections and manual adjustments (Zhou, 2023).

Tesla's utilization of advanced manufacturing technologies has resulted in significant benefits in competitiveness, sustainability, and resilience. The Gigafactory and Giga Press have enabled Tesla to produce electric vehicles more sustainably, utilizing renewable energy sources and minimizing material waste. Utilizing AI and IoT technologies has improved production efficiency and reduced the need for human labor, thereby improving worker safety and reducing the risk of virus transmission. These technological advancements have enabled Tesla to continue to operate effectively during the COVID-19 pandemic and position itself as a leader in the automotive industry.

TESLA'S GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAIN STRATEGY

Tesla's global supply chain strategy has been critical to its success as an electric vehicle manufacturer. The company has implemented a strategy of localizing its supply chains to improve efficiency and reduce costs while increasing its competitiveness and sustainability in the post-COVID-19 era. According to the research from Wang, Qin, and Zhang (2021), Tesla's supply chain vulnerabilities in the Chinese EV market have been a challenge for the company. However, the company has responded by localizing its supply chain to improve stability and reduce risks associated with supply chain disruptions. Chen and Wang (2022) noted that Tesla's supply chain in China has both advantages and disadvantages. However, the company's focus on localizing its supply chain has allowed it to mitigate risks and improve efficiency. The company's commitment to sustainability has also shaped Tesla's approach to supply chain management. As Sytko (2022)

noted, Tesla has implemented a time-based competition strategy in its supply chain to reduce waste and improve efficiency. This approach has enabled the company to reduce its carbon footprint and improve its environmental sustainability.

Moreover, Tesla has been able to leverage its global supply chain to navigate the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. By localizing its supply chains and reducing its reliance on global suppliers, the company has maintained production levels despite supply chain disruptions. The strategy has been particularly evident in the case of the Chinese super factory, which continued producing cars despite the pandemic-related disruptions in the global supply chain (Du & Li, 2021).

THE ROLE OF POLICY SUPPORT

Government policies and regulations have played a crucial role in shaping the adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies in the electric vehicle industry, including Tesla. Global policies to support the development and adoption of new energy vehicles have been significant, with countries like the US, China, and Europe implementing measures to ensure the production and operation of companies like Tesla during the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, policies aimed at encouraging the use of advanced manufacturing technologies have provided significant support for Tesla's manufacturing processes.

Wu et al. (2021) argued that China's evolving policy incentives for the sustainable development of electric vehicles have been instrumental in driving the industry's growth. Huang (2022) also highlighted the significance of Tesla's localization strategy in China, which has been supported by Chinese government policies promoting new energy vehicles.

Moreover, policies aimed at promoting sustainable practices and reducing the carbon footprint of manufacturing processes have also influenced Tesla's adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies. As Wu et al. (2022) noted, Tesla's success in driving sustainability and the evolution of electric vehicles is partly due to its adoption of sustainable practices and environmentally friendly technologies.

Therefore, government policies and regulations play a crucial role in shaping the adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies in the electric vehicle industry. These policies provide incentives and support for companies to invest in advanced manufacturing technologies and sustainable practices, enabling them to improve efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance their competitiveness and sustainability in the global market.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Despite the insights provided by this study, certain limitations should be acknowledged. Primarily, the research's focus on Tesla may only partially represent the experiences of other industries or companies implementing advanced manufacturing technologies. Furthermore, the study does not extensively explore the potential negative consequences of adopting these technologies, such as job displacement and increased reliance on rare materials.

To further enhance the understanding of advanced manufacturing technologies' role in sustainable global industrialization, future research should examine a broader range of industries and companies. Comparative case studies encompassing various sectors and regions could offer valuable insights into diverse applications of these technologies and the extent of government support in different contexts. Additionally, investigating the potential drawbacks of advanced manufacturing technologies and developing strategies for mitigating their adverse effects on the workforce and the environment would contribute to a more comprehensive perspective on sustainable industrialization in the post-COVID-19 era.

CONCLUSION

The study reveals that advanced manufacturing technologies, including robotics, additive manufacturing, digital operations, and artificial intelligence, are instrumental in fostering sustainable global industrialization. Tesla's case serves as a prime example of how the adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies can substantially improve competitiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and resilience in the post-COVID-19 era. The company's Gigafactory and Giga Press, along with its dedication to sustainable practices and innovative supply chain strategies,

have empowered it to navigate the challenges brought forth by the pandemic and maintain its leadership in the electric vehicle industry.

Government policies and regulations are crucial in promoting the adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies and encouraging sustainable industrialization. Government backing through incentives, infrastructure investments, and regulatory frameworks has been pivotal in nurturing the growth and development of pioneering companies like Tesla. Additionally, cooperation between industry, academia, and research institutions is essential for advancing the development and adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies, ultimately contributing to sustainable global industrialization.

The study adds to the larger conversation surrounding the role of advanced manufacturing technologies in achieving sustainable global industrialization in the post-COVID-19 era. It underscores companies' need to invest in advanced manufacturing technologies and embrace innovative supply chain strategies to boost their competitiveness and sustainability amidst ongoing global challenges. Furthermore, it accentuates the significance of government support, policy interventions, and industry collaboration in facilitating the growth of innovative industries and driving sustainable global industrialization.

REFERENCE

Ajitha, P. V., & Nagra, A. (2021). An Overview of Artificial Intelligence in Automobile Industry—A Case Study on Tesla Cars. *Solid State Technology*, 64(2), 503-512.

Allwood, J. M., Ashby, M. F., Gutowski, T. G., & Worrell, E. (2011). Material efficiency: A white paper. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 55(3), 362-381.

Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The risk of automation for jobs in OECD countries: A comparative analysis.

- Baily, M. N., & Bosworth, B. P. (2014). US manufacturing: Understanding its past and its potential future. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(1), 3-26.
- Bessant, J., & Tidd, J. (2007). *Innovation and entrepreneurship*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bughin, J., Hazan, E., Lund, S., Dahlström, P., Wiesinger, A., & Subramaniam, A. (2018). Skill shift: Automation and the future of the workforce. *McKinsey Global Institute*, 1, 3-84.
- Chen, J., & Wang, S. (2022, April). Research on the Advantages and Disadvantages of Tesla's Supply Chain in China. In *2022 7th International Conference on Social Sciences and Economic Development (ICSSSED 2022)* (pp. 731-735). Atlantis Press.
- Cooke, P. (2020). Gigafactory logistics in space and time: Tesla's fourth gigafactory and its rivals. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 2044.
- Despeisse, M., Oates, M. R., & Ball, P. D. (2013). Sustainable manufacturing tactics and cross-functional factory modelling. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 42, 31-41.
- Du, X., & Li, B. (2021). Analysis of Tesla's Marketing Strategy in China. In *2021 3rd International Conference on Economic Management and Cultural Industry (ICEMCI 2021)* (pp. 1679-1687). Atlantis Press.
- Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation?. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 114, 254-280.
- Gambhir, A., Rogelj, J., Luderer, G., Few, S., & Napp, T. (2019). Energy system changes in 1.5 C, well below 2 C and 2 C scenarios. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 23, 69-80.
- Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy—A new sustainability paradigm?. *Journal of cleaner production*, 143, 757-768.

- Goldhammer, T., Höhne, A., Kleine, L., Kronsbein, A. L., Lewandowski, J., Mehner, T., Müller, B. M., Pusch, M., Reith, C. J., Schulz, H., & Spahr, S. (2021). The Berlin-Brandenburg region and the Tesla Gigafactory. IGB Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries. <https://www.igb-berlin.de/en/news/berlin-brandenburg-region-and-tesla-gigafactory>
- Graham, J. D., Belton, K. B., & Xia, S. (2021). How China beat the US in electric vehicle manufacturing. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 37(2), 72-79.
- Huang, J. (2022). Brand marketing strategy of new energy vehicles in the Chinese market-taking Tesla as an example. *Journal of Buddhist Education and Research*, 8(3), 128-134.
- Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. A position paper motivated by COVID-19 outbreak. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(10), 2904-2915.
- Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., & Sokolov, B. (2019). The impact of digital technology and Industry 4.0 on the ripple effect and supply chain risk analytics. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(3), 829-846.
- Jovane, F., Yoshikawa, H., Alting, L., Boer, C. R., Westkamper, E., Williams, D., ... & Paci, A. M. (2008). The incoming global technological and industrial revolution towards competitive sustainable manufacturing. *CIRP annals*, 57(2), 641-659.
- Kamilaris, A., Fonts, A., & Prenafeta-Boldó, F. X. (2019). The rise of blockchain technology in agriculture and food supply chains. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 91, 640-652.
- Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Kao, H. A. (2015). A cyber-physical systems architecture for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. *Manufacturing letters*, 3, 18-23.

- Liao, Y., Deschamps, F., Loures, E. D. F. R., & Ramos, L. F. P. (2017). Past, present and future of Industry 4.0-a systematic literature review and research agenda proposal. *International journal of production research*, 55(12), 3609-3629.
- Lieder, M., & Rashid, A. (2016). Towards circular economy implementation: a comprehensive review in context of manufacturing industry. *Journal of cleaner production*, 115, 36-51.
- Liu, Y., Shen, Y., Zhang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2022, December). A Comprehensive Analysis of Tesla. In *2022 2nd International Conference on Financial Management and Economic Transition (FMET 2022)* (pp. 344-356). Atlantis Press.
- Mangram, M. E. (2012). The globalization of Tesla Motors: a strategic marketing plan analysis. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 20(4), 289-312.
- Manyika, J., Lund, S., Chui, M., Bughin, J., Woetzel, J., Batra, P., ... & Sanghvi, S. (2017). Jobs lost, jobs gained: Workforce transitions in a time of automation. *McKinsey Global Institute*, 150.
- Queiroz, M. M., Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., & Fosso Wamba, S. (2022). Impacts of epidemic outbreaks on supply chains: mapping a research agenda amid the COVID-19 pandemic through a structured literature review. *Annals of operations research*, 319(1), 1159–1196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03685-7>
- Rüßmann, M., Lorenz, M., Gerbert, P., Waldner, M., Justus, J., Engel, P., & Harnisch, M. (2015). Industry 4.0: The future of productivity and growth in manufacturing industries. *Boston consulting group*, 9(1), 54-89.
- Shao, X., Wang, Q., & Yang, H. (2021). Business Analysis and Future Development of an Electric Vehicle Company--Tesla.

- Shiddiq, M. (2020). Case Study of Tesla, Inc. as Dynamic Organization in Automotive Industry and How It Acts Amidst COVID-19 Epidemic. *Inc. as Dynamic Organization in Automotive Industry and How It Acts Amidst COVID-19 Epidemic (April 30, 2020)*.
- Singh, G., Rajesh, R., Daultani, Y., & Misra, S. C. (2023). Resilience and sustainability enhancements in food supply chains using Digital Twin technology: A grey causal modelling (GCM) approach. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 179*, 109172.
- Sypko, D. (2022). Time-Based Competition in Tesla's Supply Chain in the Era of Industry 4.0.
- Tassey, G. (2014). Competing in advanced manufacturing: The need for improved growth models and policies. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 28*(1), 27-48.
- Teixeira, J. E., & Tavares-Lehmann, A. T. C. (2022). Industry 4.0 in the European union: Policies and national strategies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 180*, 121664.
- Tukker, A. (2015). Product services for a resource-efficient and circular economy—a review. *Journal of cleaner production, 97*, 76-91.
- Wang, Y., Qin, S., & Zhang, C. (2021). Tesla's Supply Chain Vulnerabilities in the Chinese EV market. *Frontiers in Economics and Management, 2*(4), 102-112.
- Tao, F., Qi, Q., Liu, A., & Kusiak, A. (2018). Data-driven smart manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 48*, 157-169.
- Wu, Y. A., Ng, A. W., Yu, Z., Huang, J., Meng, K., & Dong, Z. Y. (2021). A review of evolutionary policy incentives for sustainable development of electric vehicles in China: Strategic implications. *Energy Policy, 148*, 111983.
- WU, Z. Q., NGUYEN, P. Q., PHANKASEMSAN, I., & WOLF, E. (2022). Driving Sustainability and Electric Vehicles Evolution: Tesla Company's Success Strategies.

Zhou, H., Leong, G. K., Jonsson, P., & Sum, C. C. (2009). A comparative study of advanced manufacturing technology and manufacturing infrastructure investments in Singapore and Sweden. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 120(1), 42-53.

Zhou, Y. (2023). Tesla's Strategies to Achieve Positive Growth for Production and Sales During the COVID-19 Epidemic. In *SHS Web of Conferences* (Vol. 154, p. 02024). EDP Sciences.